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WebAssembly (Wasm)

What is WebAssembly?

- A modern bytecode language supported by all major browsers
- An efficient compilation target for low-level languages (e.g. C/C++)
- Specified through formal semantics from the start
- Code distributed in modules — the unit of compilation
WebAssembly (Wasm)

Related Previous Work

• Wasm formal semantics (Haas et al, PLDI 2017)
• Isabelle mechanisation (Watt, CPP 2018)
• First-order encapsulated Wasm Program Logic (Watt et al, ECOOP 2018)
• Wasm 1.0, official W3C-Recommendation (2019)
• Isabelle and Coq mechanisation of Wasm 1.0 (Watt et al, FM 2021)
Iris-Wasm: Goals

• A mechanised program logic of Wasm using Iris
  • Based on the previous faithful representation of the Wasm semantics
  • Robust safety examples via logical relation defined on the language…
    • But unknown code is only a thing where multiple modules are involved
• A lightweight host language that supports module instantiation
  • Also enables building modular specification for Wasm modules
Talk Overview

- Program Logic for Native WebAssembly
- Modules and Host Language
Program Logic for Native WebAssembly

WebAssembly Overview

• Stack-based language
  • Instruction stack and value stack
• Small-step operational semantics
• Syntactic type-check (validation) before execution
# Program Logic for Native WebAssembly

## Basic Wasm Definitions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Value</th>
<th>v</th>
<th>32/64-bit integers and floats</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Value type</td>
<td>( t ::= \text{i32} \mid \text{i64} \mid \text{f32} \mid \text{f64} )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Function type</td>
<td>( ft ::= [\ast t] \rightarrow [\ast t] )</td>
<td>Each instruction has a static function type for validation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Instruction</td>
<td>( e ::= t.\text{const} \ v \mid t.\text{add} \mid ) block ( ft \ e \ast \mid \text{loop} \ ft \ e \ast \mid \text{br} \ n \mid \text{call} \ n \mid \text{call_indirect} \ n \mid \text{return} \mid \text{load} \ast \mid \text{store} \ast \mid \ldots \ast \mid \ldots \ast )</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

## (Trivial) Embedding in Iris

| Iris val | \( iris_v ::= v \ast \) |
| Iris expr | \( iris_e ::= e \ast \) |
**Program Logic for Native WebAssembly**

**Example: Numeric Instructions**

(i32.const 2)
(i32.const 10)
(i32.const 30)
(i32.add)
(i32.add)

(i32.add)
(i32.add)

(i32.const 2)
(i32.const 40)
(i32.const 42)

- Following the official specification, value stack is not implemented explicitly
- Leading list of constants is interpreted as the value stack
- A very simple wp rule demonstrating the semantic behaviour of addition:

\[
\Phi(v_1 + t \cdot v_2) \\
\text{wp } \left[ t.\text{const } v_2; t.\text{const } v_1; t.\text{add} \right] \{ v.\Phi(v) \} \\
\text{wp.add}
\]
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Example: Control Flow

- Blocks reduce to Label instructions (Labels are somewhat similar to evaluation contexts)
- br breaks out of the corresponding Label, taking (some) values out of Label, and pushes the continuation of Label to the instruction stack and continue from there
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Example: Control Flow

```
(Block ...
  [(Block ...
    [(i32.const 2)
     (i32.const 40)
     (i32.add)
     (br 1)]
  )
)
```

Intermediate steps omitted

```
(Label ...
  [(Label ...
    [(i32.const 2)
     (i32.const 40)
     (i32.add)
     (br 1)]
  )
)
```

```
(i32.const 42)
```

```
(Label ...
  [(Label ...
    [(i32.const 42)
     (br 1)]
  )
)
```

```
(i32.const 42)
```
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Example: Control Flow

• Cannot treat labels as simple evaluation contexts due to \( br \)

• Forget about this idea of context and treat labels as normal expressions?
  • Hard to craft the traditional \( bind \) rules for labels
  • Awkward to apply the resulting \( wp \) rules to actual programs

• Solution:
  • Consider a \textit{group} of nested labels as an evaluation context
  • Extend the definition of values to include \textit{stuck} \( br \)
Program Logic for Native WebAssembly

Example: Control Flow

| Iris val | \( \text{iris\_v ::= immV v^* | brV n lh | ...} \) |
|----------|--------------------------------------------------|
| Label hole context | \( lh ::= LH\_base v^* e^* | LH\_rec v^* e^*_cont lh e^*_exec \) |

- \( lh \) describes a (nested) label context surrounding a hole

- In the \( \text{brV} \) constructor of \( \text{iris\_v} \), \( lh \) is required to be shallow enough for \( (\text{br n}) \) to get stuck

- Filling a \( lh \) context with an expression:

  \[
  \text{lh\_fill lh (br 1)} = le = \text{of\_val (brV 1 lh)}
  \]
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Example: Control Flow

• An auxiliary notation of context-wp is defined for dealing with contexts more easily

\[
wp\_ctx \ e \ lh \ \{\Phi\} ::= wp \ (lh\_fill \ lh \ e) \ \{\Phi\}
\]

• A number of rules proved to handle context manipulation

\[
\text{wp\_ctx \ e \ lh \ \{v.\Phi(v)\}} \quad \text{wp\_ctx\_bind}
\]

• Together with a rule for \text{br} within an appropriate context, this allowed the spec of the previous example to be proved
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Example: Wasm State and Function Call

- Wasm state consisting of two records:
  - The global store $S$, collecting all resources allocated by instantiation of modules
  - The local frame (local environment) $F$, which itself consists of:
    - A list of local variables $locs$;
    - A local runtime instance $inst$ containing function types used, and addresses to each field of the global store $S$.

- Each component of the store is modelled by an individual heap in the memory model

- Frame cannot be split or shared in anyway, so is modelled by a unit resource

\[
S := \begin{cases} 
  \text{funcs} := func^* \\
  \text{tabs} := tab^* \\
  \text{mems} := mem^* \\
  \text{globs} := glob^* 
\end{cases}
\]

\[
F := \begin{cases} 
  \text{locs} := v^* \\
  \text{types} := ft^* \\
  \text{funcaddr} := addr^* \\
  \text{tabaddr} := addr^* \\
  \text{memaddr} := addr^* \\
  \text{globaddr} := addr^* 
\end{cases}
\]

\[
(0 \xrightarrow{wf} fn) \ast (0 \xrightarrow{wm} 42 \text{ (0x61)}) \ast (1 \xrightarrow{wg} gv) \ast \ldots
\]

\[
\left( \begin{array}{c}
\frame \\
\end{array} \right) \leftrightarrow F
\]

\[
(S, F, e) \leftrightarrow (S', F', e')
\]
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Example: Wasm State and Function Call

- We start with a state \((S, F)\) given by:

- And execute \([\text{(i32.const 42); (call 0)}]\) under this state.

\[
S := \begin{cases} 
\text{funcs} := [f_0, f_1, f_2] 
\end{cases}
\]

\[
F := \begin{cases} 
\text{locs} := [] 
\text{inst} := \begin{cases} 
\text{funcaddr} := 1 
\end{cases}
\end{cases}
\]

\[
f_1 := \begin{cases} 
\text{ft} := \mathbb{I}32 \rightarrow \mathbb{I}32 
\text{body} := (\text{get.local 0}); (\text{get.local 0}); (\text{i32.add}); (\text{return}) 
\end{cases}
\]

\[
[S, F, \text{es}] \mapsto [S', F', \text{es}'] 
\]

\[
(S, F_0, [\text{Local } F \text{ es}]) \mapsto (S', F_0, [\text{Local } F' \text{ es}']) 
\]
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Limitation of Native Wasm Code

• No native Wasm instructions can modify the list of function closures or function tables
  • Calling static code in the store only, no real higher-order functions

• Function closures and function tables are results of resource allocations during module instantiation
  • A host supporting instantiation would allow more interesting examples
  • Host can also choose to directly provide operations with more expressive power (e.g. Wasm-JS API)
Talk Overview

- Program Logic for Native WebAssembly
- Modules and Host Language
A Wasm module $M$ is a large record:

- $\text{types}$ collect the function types used in the module
- $\text{funcs, tabs, mems, globs}$ contain declarations of the corresponding resources of the module
- $\text{elem, data}$ are initialisers for tables and memories
- $\text{import}$ states the type of the imports expected
- $\text{export}$ states which resources declared by the modules are exposed to be used by other modules
- $\text{start}$ optionally chooses one of the functions declared to be executed immediately after instantiating the module
Modules and Host Language

Example: Stack Module (Fragment)

- Defines one function of type [\] \rightarrow \{i32\} which declares one local variable, with a function body consisting of native Wasm code

- Defines a memory with initial size 0 and no maximum limit

- Exports the 0th function and name it "new_stack"

```
Definition stack_module :=
{
  types := [
    Tf [\] [T_i32] ; ...,
  ];
  funcs := [
    {|
      modfunc_type := Mk_typeidx 0 ; (* Function type *)
      modfunc_locals := [T_i32] ; (* Type of local variables needed to be declared *)
      modfunc_body := new_stack (* Function body *)
    |} ; ...;
  ];
  mems := [
    (* Declare a memory with minimal and initial size of 0 and no maximum size *)
    {|
      lim_min := 0%N ; lim_max := None |
    };
    ...;
  ];
  exports := [
    {|
      (* Export the 0th function of the module and call it "new_stack". *)
      modexp_name := list_byte_of_string "new_stack" ;
      modexp_desc := MED_func (Mk_funcidx 0)
    |} ; ...;
  ];
};
```
Definition new_stack :=

(* First, try to grow the memory by 1 page (64KB) *)

i32.const 1 ;
grow_memory ;

(* The above pushes a i32.(-1) to the value stack if failed, else the original memory size *)

(* We save a copy of the result to the local variable 0 *)
tee_local 0 ;
i32.const (-1) ;

(* Is the result -1? *)
i32.eq;
if [

(* It is -- well unlucky, we return -1 as well *)
i32.const (-1)
]

[

(* Push the previously stored local 0 to the value stack *)
get_local 0 ;
i32.const 65536 ;

(* Multiplying by 64K, this gives us the number of bytes in the original memory, which is the starting byte of the newly allocated memory. Call it z *)
i32.mul;

(* Save a copy of z to local 0 *)
tee_local 0 ;

(* Get another one to the stack, essentially duplicating it *)
get_local 0 ;
i32.const 4;

(* Add 4 (sizeof(i32)) to the value *)
i32.add;

(* At this point the stack contains two values: z + 4 and z. *)

(* Store z + 4 to location z of the memory *)
132.store;

(* Retrieve a copy of z stored in local 0 for return *)
get_local 0
]

].

• Function body of new_stack:

• attempts to allocate 1 new page in the memory (64KB);

• if successful, maintain an abstract stack data structure on that continuous segment of memory and return the address of the starting byte.
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Module Instantiation

- Allocates each resource declared by the module and add to the current global store $S$
- Initialisation of resources
- ...
- Returns:
  - A list of exports that can be imported by other modules later
  - The resulting Wasm global store $S'$ after instantiation
- Essentially like ‘importing’ the module into our global context $S$

$$\text{instantiate}(S, \text{module, externval}^a) = S'; F; (\text{(init\_elem tableaddr eo elem-init)})^a$$
$$\text{(init\_data memaddr do data\_init)})^a$$
$$(\text{invoke funcaddr})^a$$
$$\text{(if } \because \text{module : externtype}^m \to \text{externtype}^e \text{ex}$$
$$\land (S : \text{externval} : \text{externtype}^a)^a$$
$$\land (\text{\textless{ externtype} \leq \text{externtype}^m)^a$$
$$\land \text{module\_globals = global}^a$$
$$\land \text{module\_elem = elem}^a$$
$$\land \text{module\_data = data}^a$$
$$\land \text{module\_start = start}^a$$
$$\land S', \text{module\_inst = allocmodule}(S, \text{module, externval}^a, \text{val}^a)$$
$$\land F = \{\text{module\_inst, locals } e\}$$
$$\land (S'; F; \text{global\_init} \implies S'; F; \text{val end})^a$$
$$\land (S'; F; \text{elem\_offset} \implies S'; F; \text{i32\_const eo end})^a$$
$$\land (S'; F; \text{data\_offset} \implies S'; F; \text{i32\_const do end})^a$$
$$\land (eo + \text{data\_init} \leq |S'|.\text{tables}[\text{tableaddr}; \text{elem}])^a$$
$$\land (do + \text{data\_init} \leq |S'|.\text{mems}[\text{memaddr}; \text{data}])^a$$
$$\land \text{(tableaddr = module\_inst.tableaddr[elem-table])^a}$$
$$\land \text{(memaddr = module\_inst.memaddrs[data, data])^a}$$
$$\land \text{(funcaddr = module\_inst.funcaddrs[start, func])^a}$$

$$S; F; \text{init\_elem a i e} \implies S; F; e$$
$$S; F; \text{init\_elem a i (x n x')} \implies S'; F; \text{init\_elem a (i + 1) x}^a$$
$$\quad \land (\text{if } S' = S \text{ with tables}[a].\text{elem}[i] = F.\text{module\_funcaddrs}[x_0])$$
$$S; F; \text{init\_data a i e} \implies S; F; e$$
$$S; F; \text{init\_data a i (b n b')} \implies S'; F; \text{init\_data a (i + 1) b}^a$$
$$\quad \land (\text{if } S' = S \text{ with mems}[a].\text{data}[i] = b_0)$$

21
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Example: Instantiating the Stack Module (Fragment)

• Given any existing store $S$, instantiating this module:
  
  • Pushes an additional function closure corresponding for `new_stack` to the end of $S$.funcs;
  
  • Pushes a new memory (initially empty) to the end of $S$.mems;
  
  • Generates an export corresponding to the `new_stack` function to the host language; the host should store it for potential future use by other modules;
  
  • …

\[
\text{Definition stack_module :=}
\begin{align*}
&\{\}
\text{types := [}
&Tf [] [T_i32] ; 
]\text{;} \\
&\text{funcs := [}
&T \text{modfunc_type := Mk_typeidx 0 ; (* Function type *)}
&\text{modfunc_locals := [T_i32] ; (* Type of local variables needed to be declared *)}
&\text{modfunc_body := new_stack (* Function body *)}
]\text{;} \ldots \\
&\text{mems := [}
&T \text{(* Declare a memory with minimal and initial size of 0 and no maximum size *)}
&T \text{lim_min := 0,N ; lim_max := None }}
]\text{;} \ldots \\
&\text{exports := [}
&T \text{(* Export the 0th function of the module and call it "new_stack". *)}
&T \text{modexp_name := list_byte_of_string "new_stack" ;}
&T \text{modexp_desc := MED_func (Mk_funcidx 0)}
]\text{;} \ldots \\
&\}.
\end{align*}
\]
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Host Language

• Implemented a host language handling module instantiation

• Crafted a wp rule characterising the behaviour of instantiation
  • Used it to verify an example stack module with a higher order map function

• Notable features:
  • Host memory is a superset of the Wasm memory
    • An additional heap that stores instantiated exports
  • A separated wp to reason about host programs and resources
  • Host wp depends on the Wasm one due to the presence of start functions which can call Wasm code
  • 2 languages with 2 dependent but different wps, working on a similar set of memory model
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Example: Stack Module

- 6 functions, all exported
  - new_stack/is_empty/is_full/pop/push/stack_map
- 1 function table, exported
- ‘Interface’ for client modules to feed functions to use the higher-order stack map
- 1 memory, not exported
  - Encapsulation property will guarantee that the memory can only be accessed through the interfaces we exposed
- Modular specification for instantiation verified using the current instantiation wp rule
- Provide specifications of exported functions in the post
- A client module that tests our module, also verified
Robust Safety

- What if unknown code is present — e.g. a module import functions from an unknown module?
  - Encapsulation of resources: external code has no access to resources in the module unless exported

- Defined a logical relation over the entire program logic
  - Large relation due to size of the language, but canonical
  - Proved examples demonstrating the robust safety property

- The imported function $f$ from the unknown module $adv$ cannot modify the encapsulated memory and global variable

\[ \{... \ast ret \overset{wg} \rightarrow - \ast f \cdot mem \overset{wm} \rightarrow \_0 \rightarrow \} \text{ main } \{... \ast ret \overset{wg} \rightarrow 42 \ast f \cdot mem \overset{wm} \rightarrow \_0 42\} \]
Future Work

- Verify some real world code in Wasm
- Wasm is still an evolving language
  - Wasm 2.0 (currently a candidate draft)
  - Additional language features to Wasm, e.g. capability